

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,038		10/31/2003	Zheng Lu	MEMC 01-2801 (3005.3)	MEMC 01-2801 (3005.3) 1197  EXAMINER	
321	7590	06/14/2005		EXAMI		
		ERS LEAVITT AN	KUNEMUND, ROBERT M			
	ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE 16TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOU	LOUIS, MO 63102		1722			
		•		DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005	i	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>-</b>				$\overline{}$						
d	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)		$\overline{}$					
		10/699,038	LU ET AL.							
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	-						
	•	Robert M. Kunemund	1722							
The Period for Rep	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status	·									
1) Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed on									
i	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.									
3)☐ Since	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
close										
Disposition of Claims										
4) Claim(s) 1-75 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-75 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application Papers										
<ul><li>9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li><li>10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.</li></ul>										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).										
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
	·									
Attachment(s)										
` '	erences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date										
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT-6) Other:										
J.S. Patent and Trademark										

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 to 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sung et al (5,817,176).

The Sung et al reference teaches a method of producing a silicon ingot, note entire reference. A melt is formed in a crucible and is silicon. A seed crystal is lowered to contact the melt. The seed is slowly raised to withdraw a neck and then shoulder portion of the ingot. Then the main body of constant diameter is withdrawn from the melt. There is an end portion of the ingot. The seed and crucible are rotated during growth of the main body. The rotation direction is opposite of each other. The rotation

of the crucible is changed during the growth and can be changed based on a sine wave function, note col. 4. The difference between the instant claims and the prior art is the formulas used in determining rotation changes. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine experimentation the optimum, operable formulas in the Sung et al reference in order to increase control and quality of the growing ingot.

Page 3

Claims 59 to 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sung et al (5,817,176).

The Sung et al reference I relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differs from the instant claims in the growth rate control. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine experimentation the optimum, operable formulas in the Sung et al reference in order to reduce dislocations during growth as the growth rate does affect the dislocations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Kunemund whose telephone number is 571-272-1464. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ben Utech can be reached on 571-272-1137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,038 Page 4

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**RMK** 

ROBERT KUNEMUND
PRIMARY EXAMINER